

ARLINGTON VA 22202

## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 08/08/00 BIDARD  $\triangle$ 194339US 09/601.327 **EXAMINER** 022850 MM91/0830 OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT BUDD, M ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY

> 2834 DATE MAILED:

00/30/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Office Action Summary	Application No. 27	Applicant(s)	ard et	al	
	Examiner Bud)		Group Art Unit		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—					
Period for Reply	2				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	_ MONTH(S	) FROM THE MA	ILING DATE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default,</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	ly within the statutory minic expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to	mum of thirty (3 m the mailing da become ABAN	0) days will be consi ate of this communic IDONED (35 U.S.C. §	dered timely. cation. § 133).	
Status					
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				·	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>					
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.</li> </ul>	or formal matters, <b>pros</b> C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	ecution as t	o the merits is c	losed in	
Disposition of Claims					
Claim(s)		is/are p	ending in the app	lication.	
Claim(s) 7-14  Of the above claim(s) 7-14			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)			_ is/are allowed.		
☐ Claim(s) /- €			_ is/are rejected.		
□ Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
□ Claim(s)				or election	
Application Papers		requirer			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapprove	ed.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examiner				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-	-(d).			
All □ Some* □ None of the:	•				
Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	×				
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec		)			
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents					
in this national stage application from the International E	•	a))			
*Certified copies not received:				•	
Attachment(s)	2(0-0,00)				
Attachment(s)  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s  Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	).3(8 8 )   Int	terview Sumn	nary, PTO-413		
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ No	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ <b>O</b> f	ther			
Office Action Summary					

Art Unit: 2834

The following the autotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the reliable ander this section made in this Office action:

A person . be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a Provige of atry, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1 1. 5 and 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Onishi (142),
Ohnishi (368)

Note Califil (12) figure 19; Tsuji (figs. 1a-9a) and Onishi (368) figures 1-7.

The following to a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set to the line this Office action:

(a) A part of your too be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section of the obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section of the obtained differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such the obtained as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having one obtained to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner.

Claims ... It getted under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onishi (142),

Tsuji or Onish

Onishi and the claimed piezo electric SAW component except for the additional adi the and encapsulation providing an intermediate layer to increase adhesion if necessary work to bin the skill expected of the routineer dictated by the specific job requirements. The provide environmental protection is well known per se (official notice taken) to encapsulate Onishi or Tsuji and provide an additional adhesion layer would have been added to one of ordinary skill in the art.

**Application**/C + 1.17 (m<sup>2</sup> sr; 39/601,327)

Page 3

Art Unit: 281

Further and of int a re Onishi (665) and Fukiharu.

Appli v he restriction requirement is noted. The searches for the two groups are not c he examiner and applicant disagree on whether or not a batch method is made and different from manufacturing a single device. The restriction requirement is here by repeat the first f

Budd/nt

8/29/01

MAJAN BUDD PRIMÁRY EXAMINER ART UNIT 212